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Abstract

Water and contaminants moving through the vadose zone are often subject to a large number of simultaneous physical and chemical nonequilibrium processes. Traditional modeling tools for describing flow and transport in soils either do not consider nonequilibrium processes at all, or consider them only separately. By contrast, a wide range of nonequilibrium flow and transport modeling approaches are currently available in the latest versions of the HYDRUS software packages. The formulations range from classical models simulating uniform flow and transport, to relatively traditional mobile-immobile water physical and two-site chemical nonequilibrium models, to more complex dual-permeability models that consider both
Introduction

It is a pleasure to contribute to the special issue honoring Prof. Kutílek on his 80th birthday. Prof. Kutílek was teaching Hydropedology (a branch of science only recently discovered in US, e.g., Lin et al. 2005) at the Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic, when I (the senior author) was a student there in the early 1980’s. His entertaining and well-thought out lectures, and especially his earlier books (Kutílek 1980, 1982), attracted me to Soil Physics, to which I later devoted my professional career.

Much has changed since then. Not only our society, but also the tools that we use today to address the various topics in Soil Physics, including water flow and solute transport, are very different. The knowledge in Soil Physics has vastly increased. There are excellent textbooks available providing an overview of the field to students and professionals alike (e.g., Kutílek & Nielsen 1994). Moreover, while Prof. Kutílek’s lectures and books were primarily devoted to descriptions of various soil physical processes and their governing equations, which were usually solved using relatively complex analytical and semi-analytical models, today, when it is hard to imagine that IBM PC was introduced only about 25 years
ago, water flow and solute transport equations are typically solved numerically. Numerical methods dramatically expanded our ability to solve complex mathematical systems involving a large number of simultaneous nonlinear processes that were previously unsolvable. One example is nonequilibrium preferential flow and transport. Computer models based on numerical solutions are now increasingly used for a wide range of applications in the research and management of natural subsurface systems. The wide usage of numerical models was also significantly promoted by their availability in both the public and commercial domains, and by the development of sophisticated graphics-based interfaces that tremendously simplify their use (ŠIMŮNEK 2005).

The HYDRUS software packages (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 1998, 2005, 2007, 2008) are among the most widely used models simulating water flow and solute transport in soils. For example, in March of 2007 HYDRUS-1D was downloaded more than 200 times by users from 30 different countries, over one thousand times in 2006, and the HYDRUS web site receives on average some 700 individual visitors each day (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 2008). Traditionally, the HYDRUS software, as well as many other models simulating variably saturated water flow and solute transport, either did not consider nonequilibrium flow and transport processes at all, or only considered them separately. For example, previous versions of HYDRUS codes considered physical and chemical nonequilibrium separately. Physical nonequilibrium solute transport was accounted for by assuming a two-region, dual-porosity type formulation that partitions the liquid phase into mobile and immobile regions (e.g., VAN GENUCHTEN & WIERENGA 1976). Chemical nonequilibrium solute transport was accounted for by assuming a two-site sorption model, which assumes that sorption sites can be divided into two fractions with sorption to different fractions of sorption sites being either instantaneous or kinetic (e.g., VAN GENUCHTEN & WAGENET, 1989).

Over the years, several publicly available numerical codes have been developed that
consider a number of options for simulating nonequilibrium water flow and/or solute transport (e.g., PRUSS 1991; JARVIS 1994; VAN DAM et al. 1997; KÖHNE et al. 2008ab). Unique to the most recent version of the HYDRUS-1D software package (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 2005, 2008) is the wide range of approaches that can be selected for simulating nonequilibrium processes (ŠIMŮNEK & VAN GENUCHTEN 2008). The models range from classical models simulating uniform flow and transport, to traditional dual-porosity physical and two-site chemical nonequilibrium models, to complex dual-permeability models that consider both physical and chemical nonequilibrium.

While the large number of physical and chemical nonequilibrium approaches available in the latest version of HYDRUS-1D was reviewed previously by ŠIMŮNEK and VAN GENUCHTEN (2008), in this paper we briefly review some recent applications of the HYDRUS models that used these nonequilibrium features to simulate nonequilibrium water flow and solute transport in the vadose zone.

HYDRUS Software Packages

There are three different versions of the HYDRUS software packages currently in use. HYDRUS-1D (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 2005), HYDRUS-2D (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 1998), and HYDRUS (2D/3D) (ŠIMŮNEK et al. 2006; ŠEJNA & ŠIMŮNEK 2007). While each model considers similar basic processes, their main difference lies in the dimensionality of the problems they can address. While HYDRUS-1D considers one-dimensional problems associated with, for example, soil columns, lysimeters, soil profiles and plots, HYDRUS-2D solves two-dimensional or axisymmetrical three-dimensional problems as encountered on the lab or field scale, and HYDRUS (2D/3D) calculates both two- and three-dimensional problems. HYDRUS (2D/3D) is an upgrade and extension of HYDRUS-2D. In addition to basic water flow and solute transport processes, individual HYDRUS packages can also consider some
additional processes. For example, HYDRUS-1D can also consider the transport and production of carbon dioxide (ŠIMŮNEK & SUAREZ 1993) and the transport of major ions and major ion chemistry (ŠIMŮNEK & SUAREZ 1994; GONÇALVES et al. 2007), and HYDRUS (2D/3D) can simulate processes in constructed wetlands (LANGERGRABER & ŠIMŮNEK 2005, 2006).

Nonequilibrium Flow and Transport Models

Physical Nonequilibrium – Mobile-Immobile Water Model

As already discussed, all HYDRUS codes traditionally consider physical and chemical nonequilibrium separately. Physical nonequilibrium solute transport is accounted for by assuming a two-region, dual-porosity type formulation that partitions the liquid phase (i.e., the total water content \( \theta \)) into mobile (flowing, inter-aggregate), \( \theta_{mo} \), and immobile (stagnant, intra-aggregate), \( \theta_{im} \), regions (e.g., VAN GENUCHTEN & WIERENGA 1976):

\[
\theta = \theta_{mo} + \theta_{im}
\]  

While water flow is assumed to be uniform in this model and the immobile water content to be constant with time (i.e., there is no water transfer between two regions), the mobile-immobile water concept, and thus physical nonequilibrium, is applied only to solute transport.

Chemical Nonequilibrium – Two-Site Sorption Model

Much like the mobile-immobile water concept (MIM), the concept of two-site sorption (TSS) (e.g., VAN GENUCHTEN & WAGENET 1989; model (b) in Figure 1) was already implemented in earlier versions of HYDRUS to permit consideration of nonequilibrium adsorption-desorption reactions. The two-site sorption concept assumes that the sorption sites, \( s \), can be divided into two fractions:

\[
s = s^e + s^k
\]
Sorption, $s^e$, on one fraction of the sites (type-1 sites) is assumed to be instantaneous, while sorption, $s^k$, on the remaining (type-2) sites is assumed to be a first-order rate kinetic process. Parameter $f$ (a fraction of sorption sites at equilibrium with the solution) was used to discriminate between the extent of instantaneous and kinetic sorption.

### Additional Chemical Nonequilibrium Models

While the two-site sorption model could always be simplified into a model with only instantaneous ($f=1$) or kinetic ($f=0$) sorption (one kinetic site model; model (a) in Figure 1), there was an urgent need for a more complex sorption model for simulating transport of particles in porous media. The more complex, two kinetic sites model (model (c) in Figure 1) was implemented into HYDRUS by Schijven and Šimůnek (2002) and Šimůnek et al. (2005). They also reformulated the traditional first-order sorption model

\[
\frac{\partial s^k}{\partial t} = \alpha \left[ s^e - s^k \right] 
\]  

using the concept of attachment/detachment

\[
\rho \frac{\partial s^k}{\partial t} = k_a c - k_d \rho s^k 
\]

In equations (3) and (4), $s^e$ is the sorbed concentration that would be reached at equilibrium with the liquid phase concentration, $s^k$ is the sorbed concentration of the kinetic sorption sites, $\alpha$ is a first-order rate constant describing the kinetics of the sorption process, $k_a$ is the attachment coefficient, $k_d$ is the detachment coefficients, $\rho$ is the bulk density, and $c$ is the liquid phase concentration. Šimůnek and van Genuchten (2008) showed that equations (3) and (4) are mathematically equivalent. However, the attachment/detachment model not only allowed for different attachment and detachment coefficients for the two sorption sites, but also allowed for different interpretation of those sites. That means that different processes could occur at the two sorption sites.
The HYDRUS model that took into account two kinetic sites was first used by Schijven and Šimůnek (2002), who simulated the removal of bacteriophages MS2 and PRD1 by dune recharge and removal of MS2 by deep well injection. They argued that the solid phase can be divided into two fractions with different surface properties and various attachment and detachment rate coefficients, reflecting the different surface characteristics of the soil organic carbon and ferric oxyhydroxide.

A different interpretation for the two sorption processes was presented by Bradford et al. (2002, 2003, 2006ab). They used the first sorption site to represent the attachment/detachment process and the second sorption site represented the straining process of colloids. Bradford et al. (2003, 2006a) used a Langmuir-type blocking (a coefficient $\psi$ that multiplies $k_a$) for the attachment/detachment sites and depth-dependent blocking for straining sites. Both of these blocking mechanisms render equation (4) nonlinear. While Bradford et al. (2002, 2003) simulated transport of colloidal microspheres in homogeneous soil columns using HYDRUS-1D, Bradford et al. (2004) used HYDRUS-2D to evaluate the effects of interfacial areas between various heterogeneities on colloid transport. This series of studies ultimately led to the development of a new straining model with a depth dependent blocking function (Bradford et al. 2006a).

Bradford et al. (2006b) further used HYDRUS-1D in order to simulate transport and straining of E. coli O157:H7 (bacteria significantly larger than colloids) in saturated porous media. For this study, HYDRUS-1D was modified to accommodate a newly developed conceptual model that assumed that E. coli will aggregate when large numbers of monodispersed E. coli are deposited at pore constrictions or straining sites. When the deposited E. coli reach a critical concentration at the straining site, the aggregated E. coli O157:H7 will be released into the aqueous solution as a result of hydrodynamic shearing forces.
In a series of papers, Gargiulo et al. (2007ab, 2008) used the two kinetic sites model in HYDRUS-1D to simulate the transport and deposition of two bacteria strains (*Deinococcus Radiodurans* and *Rhodococcus rhodochrous*) under both saturated and unsaturated conditions. While the role of bacteria surface hydrophobicity was studied by Gargiulo et al. (2008), the role of the matrix grain size and the bacteria surface protein was evaluated by Gargiulo et al. (2007b), and the effect of metabolic activity (metabolically active and stationary phase *Deinococcus Radiodurans*) was analyzed by Gargiulo et al. (2007a). In all three studies, HYDRUS-1D provided an excellent tool for evaluating various factors involved in the transport and deposition of bacteria in soils.

**Additional Physical Nonequilibrium Models**

Simunek et al. (2003) added two additional options to HYDRUS-1D for simulating nonequilibrium preferential flow. The simpler model extended the mobile-immobile water concept by assuming that the immobile water content can, like the mobile water content, be transient, and that there can be a water transfer between these two domains (model (2) in Figure 1). They also implemented Gerke and van Genuchten's (1993) full dual-permeability model. The dual-permeability model assumes that the porous medium consists of two overlapping pore domains, with water flowing relatively fast in one domain (often called the macropore, fracture, or inter-porosity domain, subscripts \(f\) and \(F\)) when close to full saturation, and slow in the other domain (often referred to as the micropore, matrix, or intra-porosity domain, subscript \(m\) and \(M\)) (model (3) in Figure 1):

\[
\theta = \theta_f + \theta_m = w\theta_f + (1-w)\theta_m
\]

(5)

Lower case subscripts in the dual-permeability model refer to the local (pore-region) scale, while upper case subscripts refer to the global (total soil medium) scale. The dual-permeability model was extended further by assuming that the liquid phase of the matrix can...
be further partitioned into mobile (flowing), $\theta_{mo,m}$, and immobile (stagnant), $\theta_{im,m}$, regions as follows:

$$\theta_m = \theta_{mo,m} + \theta_{im,m}$$

where $\theta_m$ is the volumetric water content of the matrix pore system (POT et al. 2005; ŠIMŮNEK & VAN GENUCHTEN 2008).

Examples of applications of dual-porosity models to a range of laboratory and field data involving transient flow and solute transport are provided by ŠIMŮNEK et al. (2001), ABBASI et al. (2003ab), CASTIGLIONE et al. (2003), KÖHNE et al. (2004a, 2006a) and HAWS et al. (2005).

ABBASI et al. (2003ab) applied both equilibrium and nonequilibrium (the dual-porosity model) models in HYDRUS-2D to simulate water flow and tracer movement at a sandy loam field plot with furrows (3 m × 3 m) in Phoenix, Arizona, USA. Soil hydraulic and solute transport parameters were optimized by minimizing the objective function defined using water contents, infiltration rates, and solute concentrations. The similarity of the results obtained assuming equilibrium and nonequilibrium flow and transport led to the conclusion that equilibrium transport prevailed at this field site (ABBASI et al. 2003ab).

The MIM approach in HYDRUS-1D was used by KÖHNE et al. (2004a) for inverse simulation of pressure heads, water contents, water outflow and Br breakthrough in the effluent of six aggregated soil columns with different initial water contents subject to intermittent irrigations. The physical nonequilibrium was more pronounced for wet and dry soils than for intermediate initial water contents. Inverse identification of the required MIM parameters was fairly successful, except for the saturated water contents in mobile and immobile regions, which were highly correlated (KÖHNE et al., 2004a).

Water and solute fluxes from the subsurface drains of two macroporous silty clay loam plots (48.5 m × 60 m) in agricultural fields in West Lafayette, Indiana, were simulated using
HYDRUS-2D by HAWS et al. (2005). The drainage fluxes (hydrographs) were matched reasonably well by both uniform and dual-porosity (MIM) models. However, a model calibrated on drainage fluxes could not reproduce solute breakthrough. HAWS et al. (2005) concluded that a hydrograph fit does not guarantee a proper description of flow patterns at the field scale, and that consideration of solute breakthrough is needed to derive physically meaningful model parameters.

KÖHNE et al. (2006a) made similar conclusions based on their field study at the Infeld site in North-West Germany. The observed rapid Br effluent breakthrough at low concentrations could only be simulated using the MIM approach in HYDRUS-2D. Simulation results suggested that over 60% of the surface applied Br was immobilized by transfer into the stagnant soil water region, and that the two-dimensional flow field induced by tile drains enhanced Br dispersion (KÖHNE et al. 2006a).

A version of HYDRUS-1D that considers GERKE and VAN GENUCHTEN’S (1993) dual-permeability flow and transport model was used in the studies of ŠIMŮNEK et al. (2001), ZHANG et al. (2004), KÖHNE et al. (2004b, 2006bc), and POT et al. (2005), KODEŠOVÁ et al. (2006ab, 2008), among many others.

ZHANG et al. (2004) used the dual-permeability model to analyze solute and colloidal tracer tests in laboratory columns that examined the hydraulic properties of a foamed zeolite/iron pellet material that was developed for in situ remediation of contaminated groundwater. The colloidal microspheres (1 μm diameter) moved through the columns at a much faster rate than the nonreactive solute tracer tritiated water, reflecting the inter-pellet preferential flow paths in the packed material. Inverse modeling of the microsphere data using a physical nonequilibrium transport model yielded the immobile water content ($\theta_m$) equivalent to the intra-pellet porosity (0.40), suggesting that the microspheres were excluded from the small intra-pellet pores and could only move through the large inter-pellet pore.
spaces. The dual-permeability dual-porosity model also indicated that 6 - 11% of the total porosity was preferential flow porosity, consistent with the observation of enhanced microsphere transport with respect to tritiated water. Forward modeling with the dual-permeability dual-porosity model suggested that the preferential flow porosity will drastically lower contaminant removal efficiency.

Pot et al. (2005) used all physical nonequilibrium models from HYDRUS-1D to evaluate laboratory column studies under unsaturated steady-state flow conditions generated using several rainfall intensities. Pot et al. (2005) needed increasingly complex models to describe tracer displacement column experiments for increasing fluxes. Numerical analyses showed that contrasting physical nonequilibrium transport processes occurred for different fluxes. Multiple (three) porosity domains (dual-permeability model with immobile zone in the matrix) contributed to flow at the highest rainfall intensities, including preferential flow through macropore pathways. Macropores were not active any longer at the intermediate and lowest velocities, and dual-porosity-type models were able to describe the observed preferential transport well.

Köhne et al. (2006a) studied the feasibility of the inverse (Levenberg-Marquardt) identification of dual-permeability model parameters from a drainage hydrograph. The dual-permeability model implemented in HYDRUS-1D was used to fit hydraulic and transport parameters, either sequentially or simultaneously, using observed tile-drainage hydrographs and Br concentrations. Only the simultaneous fitting procedure was successful in describing Br breakthrough. From these and lab-scale results, it was inferred that a hydrograph alone is insufficient for the inverse identification of soil hydraulic dual-permeability model parameters (Köhne et al. 2006a).

Köhne et al. (2006b) used the dual-permeability model to analyze experiments carried out on a laboratory column (80 cm height, 24 cm diam.) designed for hydraulic tomography.
that provided outflows separately from the matrix and the central cylindrical preferential flow region, as well as pressure heads and water contents in the preferential flow and matrix regions at various positions. Köhne et al. (2006b) applied one inverse approach that relied on standard (lumped) observations of infiltration and outflow, while another approach considered separate outflows for the matrix and the PF region. Both inverse approaches provided accurate matches of bulk infiltration and outflow. However, the outflows from either the matrix or the preferential flow region could only be described when the dual-permeability model was fit to region-specific outflow data. Köhne et al. (2006b) concluded that for natural soils where experimental data do not come in a ‘separated form’ for fast and slow flow regions, the domain-related hydraulic parameters of the dual-permeability model may be difficult to identify from water flow observations alone.

Kodešová et al. (2006a) used the single-porosity and dual-permeability models in HYDRUS-1D to simulate variably-saturated water movement in clay soils with and without macropores. Numerical simulations of water flow for several scenarios of probable macropore compositions showed a considerable impact of preferential flow on water infiltration in such soils. Results of numerical simulations showed that cumulative infiltration into the soil with and without macropores may differ by two or more orders of magnitude. Thus, the appropriate models must be used to describe non-equilibrium flow in such soils.

Kodešová et al. (2006b) carried out a soil micromorphological study to demonstrate the impact of soil organisms on soil pore structure. They showed the influence of earthworms, enchytraeids and moles on the pore structure of a Greyic Phaeozem by comparing two soil samples either affected or not affected by these organisms. They also studied macropores created by roots and soil microorganisms in a Haplic Luvisol, and subsequently affected by clay coatings. The dual permeability models implemented in HYDRUS-1D were applied to improve the numerical inversion of the multi-step outflow experiment, and to obtain
parameters characterizing multimodal soil hydraulic properties.

Finally, KODEŠOVÁ et al. (2008) used micromorphological images for a) characterization of flow domains in three soil types, b) selection of a proper model for estimation of multimodal soil hydraulic properties from multi-step outflow experiment and ponding infiltration, and c) numerical simulation of chlorotoluron transport within the soil profile that was experimentally studied in the field. They showed that chlorotoluron was regularly distributed in the highly connected domain of larger pores of Haplic Luvisol, from which it penetrated into the soil aggregates, i.e., zones of immobile water. The highest mobility of chlorotoluron in Greyic Phaeozem was caused by larger capillary pore pathways and sufficient infiltration fluxes that occasionally filled up these pores. The presence of clay coatings in Greyic Phaeozem that restricted water flow and contaminant transport between the macropore and matrix domains was an additional cause for this preferential transport that produced chlorotoluron penetration into deeper depths. Chlorotoluron was less regularly distributed in Haplic Cambisol. Despite the highest infiltration rate, preferential flow only slightly affected the herbicide transport. Large gravitational pores that may dominate water flow and solute transport under saturated conditions were inactive during the monitored period. As a result of complex interactions between meteorological conditions and the soil pore structure, the single- and dual-porosity models described the herbicide behavior in Haplic Luvisol well, while the dual-permeability model performed better in simulating the herbicide transport in Greyic Phaeozem and Haplic Cambisol.

**Physical and Chemical Nonequilibrium Models**

However, many transport situations involve not only physical or chemical nonequilibrium, but both nonequilibrium processes occur simultaneously. One obvious example (e.g., ŠIMŮNEK & VAN GENUCHTEN 2008) occurs during transport through an
aggregated laboratory soil column involving steady-state water flow when both a conservative
tracer (no sorption) and a reactive solute are used. The collected tracer breakthrough curve
may then display a relatively rapid initial breakthrough followed by extensive tailing, which
are both features of nonequilibrium transport. Since the tracer is non-reactive, this
nonequilibrium must be caused by physical factors. When the reactive solute is also sorbed
kinetically to the solid phase (an indication of a chemical nonequilibrium), the use of a model
that simultaneously considers both physical and chemical nonequilibrium is required.

HYDRUS offers two options for simulating simultaneous physical and chemical
nonequilibrium. The first model, i.e., the dual-porosity model with one kinetic site (model (d)
in Figure 1), considers water flow and solute transport in a dual-porosity system, while
assuming that sorption in the immobile zone is instantaneous. However, the sorption sites in
contact with the mobile zone are now divided into two fractions, subject to either
instantaneous or kinetic sorption, similar to the two-site kinetic sorption concept. Since the
residence time of solutes in the immobile domain is relatively large, equilibrium likely exists
between the solution and the sorption complex here, in which case there is no need to consider
kinetic sorption in the immobile domain. On the other hand, the model, assumes the presence
of kinetic sorption sites in contact with the mobile zone, since water can move relatively fast
in the macropore domain and thus prevent chemical equilibrium (ŠIMŮNEK & VAN
GENUCHTEN 2008).

The last nonequilibrium option implemented into HYDRUS-1D combines chemical
nonequilibrium with the dual-permeability model (model (e) in Figure 1). This model assumes
that equilibrium and kinetic sites exist in both the macropore (fracture) and micropore
(matrix) domains. A complete list and more detailed descriptions of the different models
summarized here, including the specific equations used for the water flow and solute transport
models, are given in (ŠIMŮNEK & VAN GENUCHTEN 2008).
PANG et al. (2008) used the HYDRUS-1D mobile-immobile two-region model with one kinetic site (model (d) in Figure 1) to evaluate the transport of fecal coliforms, *Salmonella* bacteriophage and bromide (Br), in 30 undisturbed lysimeters constructed from undisturbed New Zealand soils. The dual-porosity model considered first-order attachment/detachment and inactivation of the microbes, first-order mass transfer between two regions for Br, and convection and dispersion. Model predictions matched observations reasonably well.

GÄRDENÄS et al. (2006) compared four two-dimensional transport models (a uniform flow model, MIM, a dual-porosity model, and a dual-permeability model) in HYDRUS-2D in order to predict preferential water flow and the leaching of the herbicide MCPA in a 50-m long transect through a sloping, heterogeneous, tile-drained field soil in South Sweden. The simulated time covered six weeks following the spray application. Only the dual-permeability and dual-porosity models reproduced the pesticide concentration patterns in drain outflow.

POT et al. (2006) used various physical nonequilibrium approaches with kinetic sorption to analyze the impact of different constant rainfall rates on Br⁻, isoproturon and metribuzin leaching in undisturbed soil cores collected from the grassed filter strip. Observations showed a strong impact of rainfall intensity on Br⁻ (see also the text in the previous section) and herbicide leaching. Herbicide transport was affected by kinetic sorption at all flow velocities. Significantly higher estimated values for degradation rate parameters, as compared to batch data, were correlated with the extent of non-equilibrium sorption (POT et al., 2005).

Similarly, KÖHNE et al. (2006c) applied HYDRUS-1D to simulate the transport of isoproturon, terbuthylazine and Br⁻ observed in an aggregated loamy and a macroporous loamy sand soil column subject to several irrigation-redistribution cycles. The early isoproturon breakthrough in the aggregated loamy soil could be qualitatively predicted when using the dual-permeability model with two-site kinetic sorption. The simulated herbicide
breakthrough curves obtained were similar when either the degradation or sorption rate
parameters were optimized. Due to internal parameter correlations and nonuniqueness,
inverse model applications could not accurately distinguish between degradation and sorption
processes for conditions involving preferential flow.

Summary

In this paper we have summarized a wide range of nonequilibrium water flow and
solute transport models that are available in the latest versions of the HYDRUS software
packages and reviewed their recent applications. The models range from classical models
simulating uniform water flow and solute transport, to traditional mobile-immobile water
physical and two-site chemical nonequilibrium models, to more complex dual-permeability
models that consider both physical and chemical causes of nonequilibrium.

In general, the most common applications can be divided into two large groups. The
first group uses HYDRUS models to simulate transport of colloids, viruses and bacteria, i.e.,
abiotic and biotic particles in the 0.1 μm to 10 μm size range. These applications usually use
the chemical nonequilibrium transport capabilities of the model to simulate processes such as
attachment/detachment to the solid phase or air-water interface, or straining. The second
group addresses preferential water flow and transport of dissolved chemicals in structured
soils with macropores or other preferential flow pathways. For this problem, physical
nonequilibrium options are used, sometimes combined with chemical nonequilibrium options,
for simulating the transport of pesticides and other organic compounds.

There remains a need for more thorough studies to evaluate how much and what type
of information is required to fully parameterize selected nonequilibrium models. Ideally,
parameterization would be based on straightforward observations of basic soil structural and
physicochemical properties, much in the spirit of Hydropedology, a discipline which lately
received renewed attention (Lin et al. 2005), and which was always fostered by Prof. Kutilek. Until measurement techniques are fully developed, inverse parameter identification is an indispensable means for the application of complex nonequilibrium models. To facilitate such applications, the objective function for the inverse problem in HYDRUS can be formulated in terms of a large number of variables involving not only boundary concentration fluxes, but also water and solute distributions within the soil profile and in different phases (Šimůnek & van Genuchten 2008). For these reasons we believe that HYDRUS is a very attractive tool for analyzing both forward and inverse flow and transport problems.
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Figure 1. Physical and chemical nonequilibrium models considered by HYDRUS-1D.