Groundwater recharge from drywells under constant head conditions
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ABSTRACT

Drywells are widely used as managed aquifer recharge devices to capture stormwater runoff and recharge groundwater, but little research has examined the role of subsurface heterogeneity in hydraulic properties on drywell recharge efficiency. Numerical experiments were therefore conducted on a 2D‐axisymmetric domain using the HYDRUS (2D/3D) software to systematically study the influence of various homogenous soil types and subsurface heterogeneity on recharge from drywells under constant head conditions. The mean cumulative infiltration (μ₁) and recharge (μᵣ) volumes increased with an increase in the saturated hydraulic conductivity (Kₛ) for various homogeneous soils. Subsurface heterogeneity was described by generating ten stochastic realizations of soil hydraulic properties with selected standard deviation (σ₁) and horizontal (X) and vertical (Z) correlation lengths. After 365 days, values of μ₁, μᵣ, and the radius of the recharge area increased with σ and X but decreased with Z. The value of μᵣ was always smaller for a homogeneous than a heterogeneous domain. This indicates that recharge for a heterogeneous profile cannot be estimated with an equivalent homogeneous profile. The value of μᵣ was always smaller than μ₁ and correlations were highly non-linear due to vadose zone storage. Knowledge of only infiltration volume can, therefore, lead to misinterpretation of recharge efficiency, especially at earlier times. The arrival time of the wetting front at the bottom boundary (60 m) ranged from 21 to 317 days, with earlier times occurring for increasing σ and Z. The corresponding first arrival location can be 0.1–44 m away from the bottom releasing point of a drywell in the horizontal direction, with greater distances occurring for increasing σ and X. This knowledge is important to accurately assess drywell recharged performance, water quantity, and water quality.

1. Introduction

The World Economic Forum lists freshwater scarcity as the largest global risk to the sustainable development of human society due to the increasing demand of the world population and expansion of irrigated agriculture (Ervin and Hoekstra, 2014; Mekonnen and Hoekstra, 2016; Vorosmarty et al., 2000). Spatial and temporal variations in water demand lead to water scarcity in several parts of the world during specific times of the year (Mekonnen and Hoekstra, 2016; Postel et al., 1996; Savenije, 2000). Water resources can be divided into renewable (e.g., surface water and groundwater) and non-renewable (deep aquifers, which do not have a significant replenishment rate on the human time scale) (Mancosu et al., 2015). Groundwater is a vital source of freshwater, (Zektser and Lorne, 2004), however, overexploitation of groundwater resources has resulted in groundwater depletion, increased pollution, land subsidence, soil salinization, and seawater intrusion in parts of the world (Feng et al., 2013). Urban development with impermeable and paved surfaces leads to reduced infiltration of runoff water and extreme flooding events, but also offers opportunities to capture and store excess runoff and recharge groundwater. Increased flooding from climate extremes also provides opportunities for collecting, storing, and injecting stormwater into an aquifer for use during droughts (Scanlon et al., 2016).

Sustainable utilization of water resources can be achieved by reducing the demand for groundwater through increased water use efficiency, conjunctive use of alternative water sources, or increasing recharge (Dillon, 2005). Stormwater capture, infiltration, and recharge technologies such as infiltration basins, vadose zone infiltration devices like drywells (Edwards et al., 2016; Sasidharan et al., 2018a; Sasidharan et al., 2019), infiltration trenches, stormwater wells, and direct injection wells (Dillon, 2005; Gale, 2005; Richard and Peter, 2017) have been developed over the last 60 years. The main focuses of all these managed aquifer recharge strategies are to prevent flooding and surface and groundwater pollution, enhance drought resilience...
with short-term coping strategies and long-term adaptive capacity, and increase groundwater recharge (Dillon et al., 2019; Scanlon et al., 2016; Washington State Department of Ecology, 2006). A few major cities in California and Washington achieve nearly 70–100 percent of their groundwater recharge through stormwater drainage wells or drywell infiltration (Cadmus, 1999; EPA, 1999). Similarly, rapidly developing urban areas, in Arizona, Florida, and Texas, are planning to continue to build stormwater wells as a cost-effective way to manage runoff and to recharge groundwater (Cadmus, 1999; EPA, 1999).

Accurate estimation of groundwater recharge remains a challenge in the field of hydrology due to its nonlinear nature, which varies with space and time (Wang et al., 2016). Traditionally, both physical (water balance, Darcy flux, root-zone drainage) and chemical (tracers) approaches have been used to estimate recharge in arid and semi-arid areas (Allison et al., 1994). However, these techniques are expensive, not useful for short-term measurement, and exhibit large errors (Hendrickx and Walker, 2017; Huang et al., 2017). In addition, spatial variability in local topography, soil texture, and structure produce heterogeneity in groundwater recharge that requires a large number of sampling locations (Allison et al., 1994). Limited field measurements are increasingly used in combination with vadose zone numerical modeling as a time and cost-effective method to estimate groundwater recharge (Min et al., 2015; Ries et al., 2015; Small, 2005; Turkeltaub et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). However, most of these studies only considered root zone soil moisture, deep vadose zone soil water content, and matric pressure data to determine the average soil hydraulic parameters for the vadose zone to a maximum depth of 1–20 m. Furthermore, these investigations have shown large differences in groundwater recharge and simulated data due to uncertainty in model parameters.

Soil heterogeneity such as the presence of high and low permeable soil layers, their horizontal and vertical distribution, and connectivity significantly impacts water flow in the subsurface (Mantoglou and Gelhar, 1987; Schilling et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2014; Yeh et al., 1985a,b). Inadequate characterization of subsurface heterogeneity can lead to uncertainty in the prediction of water flow through the vadose zone, contaminant migration, and estimation of recharge. Deterministic and stochastic models can be used to study water flow in the vadose zone and groundwater recharge from a drywell. The Miller and Miller (1956) similitude scaling approach is a stochastic model that has been widely used to analyze flow and transport processes in heterogeneous unsaturated soil systems (Hammel and Roth, 1998; Roth, 1995; Roth and Hammel, 1996; Tseng and Jury, 1994; Vereecken et al., 2007). Our previous study investigated the influence of stochastic vadose zone heterogeneity on drywell infiltration behavior and determined the effective unsaturated soil hydraulic properties (Sasidharan et al., 2019). Most previous infiltration and recharge studies have looked at the long term steady-state conditions when infiltration (below the root zone) equals recharge (Gray and Norum, 1967; Mantoglou and Gelhar, 1987; Yeh et al., 1985a,b). However, the installation of a new drywell that receives episodic water input will create transient conditions in the vadose zone that will change infiltration, recharge, and storage. In this case, infiltration will be greater than recharge until a new steady-state condition is achieved. Transient recharge behavior is important for drywells because during their typical operational lifespan (e.g., a decade) they may not ever achieve steady-state conditions. However, no literature has investigated the effect of subsurface heterogeneity on groundwater recharge from a drywell under constant head conditions, which is an upper bound (best-case scenario) for recharge and worst-case scenario for contaminant transport.

One of the major selling points for drywells compared to direct injection wells is the opportunity for vadose zone treatment of contaminants (Edwards et al., 2016). Current regulatory standards for drywells only require 1.5–13 m separation distance between the bottom of the drywell and the local groundwater table (City of Portland, 2008, 2015; EPA, 1999; Washington State Department of Ecology, 2006). However, many previous studies using microbial contaminants like viruses (Sasidharan et al., 2018b; Sasidharan et al., 2017a; Schijven and Hassanizadeh, 2000) and bacteria (Camesano and Logan, 1998; Johnson et al., 1995; Sasidharan et al., 2017b) demonstrated that microbial retention and inactivation is a function of the flow velocity and residence time. Soil profiles with high permeability or preferential flow paths (Arnaud et al., 2015; Bradford et al., 2017) can lead to the early arrival of pathogenic microbes at the water table. In addition, monitoring wells to characterize recharge water quality are usually installed within a few meters of a drywell (Hamad et al., 2016; Izuca, 2011). This implicitly assumes that the arrival of recharge water occurs directly below the bottom of the drywell. In many cases, drinking water supply wells have been contaminated or threatened by water infiltrated from drywells (i.e., stormwater drainage wells) (Haney et al., 1989). Varying degrees contamination of groundwater by stormwater drainage wells has been reported across the US (Cadmus, 1991, 1996, 1999; Haney et al., 1989; Michael, 1997; Orr, 1993; USEPA, 1997; Wilde, 1994). Therefore, an understanding of the influence of subsurface heterogeneity on the arrival time and location of recharge during the short-term operation of a drywell is essential when assessing water quality.

The objective of this study is to investigate the influence of subsurface heterogeneity on groundwater recharge from a drywell, especially the short-term condition when recharge does not yet equal infiltration. The HYDRUS (2D/3D) software was used to directly simulate cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes from a drywell for different homogenous and heterogeneous soils. Constant head conditions were considered in the drywell to facilitate the determination of subsurface soil properties on the upper limit for recharge (and contaminant transport) and the lower limit on arrival time. Subsurface heterogeneity was described in this model by generating stochastic realizations of soil hydraulic properties with selected standard deviation, and vertical and horizontal correlation lengths. The influence of stochastic subsurface heterogeneity parameters on cumulative infiltration (I) and recharge (R) volumes, the radius of recharge (rR), early (EAT) and late (LAT) arrival times, and early (EA) and late (LA) arrival points were determined to understand the drywell infiltration and recharge behavior in the deep vadose zone (60 m).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Mathematical model

This research explores the role of subsurface heterogeneities on recharge from a drywell using numerical experiments. Input parameters, initial conditions, and boundary conditions that were employed in these numerical experiments were generally based on results from drywells located in Fort Irwin, CA that are discussed in the supporting information (SI). The HYDRUS (2D/3D) software package version 3 (Sejna et al., 2018; Šimůnek et al., 2018, 2016) was used to simulate infiltration from the drywell, redistribution in the vadose zone, and recharge into the groundwater. HYDRUS (2D/3D) numerically solves the 2-dimensional axisymmetric form of Richards equation using the van Genuchten (1980) and Mualem (1976) unsaturated soil hydraulic functions. Unless otherwise stated default values of hydraulic parameters (e.g., the saturated soil hydraulic conductivity, KS; the shape parameter in the soil water retention function, α; the residual soil water content, θr; the saturated soil water content, θs; the pore-size distribution parameter in the soil water retention function, n; and the tortuosity parameter in the hydraulic conductivity function, l) for various soil textural classes were taken from the HYDRUS (2D/3D) Soil Catalog (Carsel and Parrish, 1988; Sejna et al., 2014).

Fig. 1 shows a schematic of an example simulation flow domain, drywell geometry, initial condition, boundary conditions, and scale of the domain. The drywell geometry employed in this study was adapted from a MaxWell IV model (Torrents Resources, Phoenix, Arizona, USA) drywell (DW2) installed at the Fort Irwin study site (see Section 1 in SI).
The complex geometry of the drywell and the dynamics of the water flow from the drywell is described in detail by Sasidharan et al. (2018a). The drywell has a size of 36 m (Height, H) × 1.8 m (Width, W). A 60 m (H) × 50 m (W) simulation flow domain was chosen to best capture the infiltration radius of the drywell, to account for the drywell depth, and to accurately estimate the arrival time of the recharge water and rate (Fig. 1). The simulation domain was discretized using a two-dimensional triangular finite element mesh with the MESHGEN tool available within HYDRUS (2D/3D) (Šejna et al., 2014). The mesh was refined at the left part of the domain where infiltration from the drywell was simulated. To reduce the mass balance error, the finite element mesh was adjusted such that the size of elements was smaller (0.05–0.1 m) near the drywell and the grid size was gradually increased with radial distance from the drywell, with a maximum element size of 0.5–0.75 m. The quality of the finite element mesh was assessed by checking the mass balance error reported by HYDRUS (2D/3D) at the end of the simulation. Mass balance errors were always below 1%, and these values are generally considered acceptable (Brunetti et al., 2017).

A no-flux boundary condition was assigned to the upper boundary. The nodes representing the right and lower left (the boundary between the drywell and the bottom boundary) sides of the flow domain were set to no flux boundaries. The nodes at the bottom boundary (z = −60 m) were assigned a free drainage boundary condition (i.e., the water table was assumed to be far below this point). Note that the numerical experiments in this study do not account for the effect of the water table and future site-specific analysis and modeling studies are therefore warranted. Justification for the constant head condition is given in Section 1 of the SI. To represent a continuously full drywell, the total head (H = h + z) at the left boundary (at z = −8 to −38) was constant and equal to 0 m, i.e., the pressure head decreased linearly with depth from 38 m at the bottom boundary of the drywell to 8 at the top (Fig. 1). The initial condition (IC) in the flow domain was specified in terms of the soil water pressure head (h(x, z)) and was set to a constant pressure head of −0.5 m (Fig. 1). This initial condition is justified because the bottom of the drywell at Fort Irwin is approximately 25 m from the water table. In addition, the water retention curve for the Fort Irwin soil (Fig. S4) shows that at −50 cm pressure head the corresponding water content (0.06) is close to the residual water content (0.043, Table 1) of the Fort Irwin soil. Therefore, we choose this relatively low ICs to make the change in storage smaller and to estimate recharge accurately within the simulation time.

3. Numerical experiments

3.1. Homogeneous domain

Numerical experiments (Experiment I, Table 1) were conducted to determine the values of I, R, and σr under the Sand (S), Loamy Sand (LS), Sandy Loam (SL), Loam (L), and Silt (Si) soil materials for 365 days (1 year). A homogeneous flow domain was considered in these simulations and the hydraulic parameters for various soil textures were taken from the HYDRUS (2D/3D) Soil Catalog (Table 1). The value of I was collected over time during the simulation as the total volume of water infiltrated from the full drywell (i.e., the constant total head

![Fig. 1. The drywell geometry, dimensions, initial condition, the boundary conditions, and X and Z correlation length scale for the 2D-axisymmetrical flow domain. The detailed drywell geometry and the water flow dynamics were presented in our previous study (Sasidharan et al., 2018a).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Soil Domain</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
<th>Soil Hydraulic Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Soil Catalog</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>α [−]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n [−]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ks [m min⁻¹]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [−]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Stochastic Heterogeneity (Fort Irwin Soil)</td>
<td>Heterogeneous</td>
<td>X = 10; Z = 0.1; α = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 1; Z = 0.1; α = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 0.1; Z = 0.1; α = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 1; Z = 1; α = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 1; Z = 2; α = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 1; Z = 0.1; α = 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X = 1; Z = 0.1; α = 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of Ks and α was obtained by the inverse optimization of the falling head experiment conducted at the Fort Irwin drywell using HYDRUS (2D/3D) as explained in our previous research (Sasidharan et al., 2018a). The values of θr, θl, and n were determined by the particle size distribution analysis using laser diffractrometry device (LA930: Horiba LTD, Japan) (Segal et al., 2009) and applying the sand-silt-clay faction in the Rosetta pedotransfer function model (Schaap et al., 2001).

Table 1

The residual soil water content (θr), the saturated soil water content (θl), the shape parameter (α), the pore-size distribution parameter (n), the saturated isotropic hydraulic conductivity (Ks), and the tortuosity parameter (I) for different soil materials used in the numerical experiments.
boundary). Similarly, the value of $R$ was calculated as the volume of water drained through the bottom free drainage boundary. The radius of recharge was calculated from the saturated nodes and its corresponding distance in the $x$-direction at the bottom boundary of the flow domain. Note that all this information was available in output files of the HYDRUS (2D/3D) software.

3.2. Heterogeneous domain

The previous experiment considered idealized homogeneous soil systems. However, most field-scale soil profiles are highly heterogeneous as shown in the Fort Irwin case study (Section 1 in SI), and the hydraulic parameters may change over short distances. Natural geologic processes tend to produce layers and lenses in soil texture that form parallel to the soil surface (Phillips and Lorz, 2008). In addition, preferential water flow pathways can occur in the vertical direction as a result of: unstable flow behavior; dynamic capillary properties; macropores from decaying plant roots, burrowing earthworms, and animals; spatial variations in soil structure; and cracks in clayey soils; and fractured rocks (Flury et al., 1994; Hencher et al., 2011; Hendrickx and Flury, 2001; Phillips and Lorz, 2008; Sidle et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2008; Uchida et al., 2003; USDA, 2016). This study did not explicitly investigate the effect of preferential flow pathways and macropores, which has been extensively explored in previous research (Kung, 1990; Larsbo et al., 2005; Nativ et al., 1995; Šimůnek and Bradford, 2008; Wood et al., 1997).

Several approaches such as univariate, multivariate, and multiple-point geostatistical techniques can be used to represent geometrical features and quantify soil variability (Elkabet et al., 2003; Jackson and Caldwell, 1993; Meerschman et al., 2013). In this study, high permeable horizontal and vertical soil layers/lenses are represented using the Miller-Miller similitude geostatistical approach, which has been widely used in previous research (Feyen et al., 1998; Roth, 1995; Roth and Hammel, 1996; Sasidharan et al., 2019; Vereecken et al., 2007). The original Miller-Miller concept of scaling is based on using the scaling factor to adjust the degree of magnification or reduction of the $K$ and $h$ relative to a standard (reference) value (Šimůnek et al., 2012). The HYDRUS (2D/3D) computer software has an option to generate stochastic distributions of hydraulic conductivity ($\alpha_K$) and pressure head ($\alpha_h$) scaling factors using the Miller-Miller similitude approximation (Miller and Miller, 1956), which links these two scaling factors as follows: $\alpha_K = \alpha_h^{-2}$. In this study lognormal distribution for the scaling factors were employed (Šimůnek et al., 2007). Three input parameters: the standard deviation ($\sigma$) of $\log_{10}(\alpha_K)$, and its correlation length in the lateral (the $X$-correlation length, $X$) and vertical (the $Z$-correlation length, $Z$) directions, were used to generate the stochastic field. The value of $\sigma$ determines the extent of variations in the scaling factors, with higher values leading to higher variations in scaling factors. The correlation length is a measure of how similar the scaling factors are in a specific direction and to what distance. A high value of the $X$-correlation length means that the scaling factor maintains similar values for a greater horizontal distance. The same applies to the $Z$-correlation length in the vertical direction (Rassam et al., 2003). Procedures for generating autocorrelated or uncorrelated scaling factor fields and the original algorithm used in HYDRUS have been described in detail in the literature (El-Kadi, 1986; Freeze, 1975; Mejía and Rodríguez-Iturbe, 1974).

Soil hydraulic parameters ($K_{\alpha}$, $\alpha$, $\theta_i$, $\theta_s$, and $n$) for stochastic simulations were obtained from experiments conducted at the Fort Irwin site (Experiment II, Table 1). In particular, inverse optimization of falling head experimental data was used to obtain $K_{\alpha}$ and $\alpha$ (Sasidharan et al., 2018a), whereas other parameters ($\theta_i$, $\theta_s$, and $n$) were obtained from the measured particle size distribution (silt-clay-fraction) and the Rosetta software (Schaap et al., 2001; Segal et al., 2009).

Constant head drywell simulations in heterogeneous domains were used to determine $I$ and $R$ after 365 days. Multiple realizations of the stochastic parameters were employed to determine mean values of $I$ ($\mu I$) and $R$ ($\mu R$) and associated standard deviations. The computational time and cost to conduct these recharge simulations were large. To minimize these factors only ten realizations were considered for each stochastic heterogeneity parameter combination. The justification for using 10 realizations is given in our previous research (Sasidharan et al., 2019). In particular, these authors found that the mean and standard deviation of cumulative infiltration volume in heterogeneous soil profiles stabilized after around ten realizations for stochastic parameters considered in the present study. In this study, the following hypothetical combinations of the scaling factors with variable $\sigma$ ($\sigma = 0.25, 0.5, 1$: when $X = 1$ m and $Z = 0.1$ m, $X (X = 0.1, 1, 10$ m when $\sigma = 1$ and $Z = 0.1$ m), and $Z (Z = 0.1, 1, 2$ m when $\sigma = 1$ and $X = 1)$ were used. Similar parameter ranges have been measured in the field (Freeze, 1975; Sudicky and MacQuarrie, 1989) and employed in previous modeling studies (Sasidharan et al., 2019). In addition, a simulation for a homogeneous domain with the Fort Irwin soil hydraulic parameters was conducted to compare the $\mu I$ and $\mu R$ between homogeneous and heterogeneous domains.

The times when the first (i.e., early arrival time, $EA_1$) and last (i.e., late arrival time, $LA_f$) bottom boundary nodes got saturated for the first and last times were extracted from the output data file with nodal water contents from each HYDRUS (2D/3D) simulation. Similarly, the first (i.e., the early arrival point, $EA_p$) and last (i.e., the late arrival point, $LA_p$) bottom boundary nodes that were saturated were also calculated. A mean radius of recharge ($r_0$) was calculated as the distance in the $x$-direction between the first and last nodes at the bottom boundary that were fully saturated at the end of the 365 days simulation. Mean parameter values and 95%-Confidence Intervals (CI) were calculated for all parameters from ten simulations.

4. Result and discussions

4.1. Homogeneous soil profiles

Numerical experiments were conducted to better understand the transient relationship between the cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes in homogeneous soil domains. Simulations considered higher permeability soils (e.g., S, LS, SL, L, and Si) that are generally used for vadose zone infiltration devices. Fig. 2A and B show simulated water content ($\theta$) profiles in various soils (e.g., $S$, $LS$, $SL$, $L$, and $Si$) after 0.73 and 365 days, respectively. Fig. 3 presents corresponding information about the infiltration and recharge behavior in these same simulations. The width and depth of the cone of infiltration after 0.73 days (Fig. 2A) decreases with a decrease in the soil $K_i$ in the order $S$, $LS$, $SL$, $L$, and $Si$ (Table 1). Similarly, the value of $I$ as a function of time increases with the soil $K_i$ (Fig. 3A).

The recharge at a specific time depends on changes in the soil hydraulic properties with $\theta$ (van Genuchten et al., 1991) and the radius of the cone of infiltration at the bottom boundary ($r_0$). Yeh et al. (1985a) and Yeh et al. (1985b) demonstrated that the variability of capillary pressure or moisture content increases when the mean capillary pressure increases, and the anisotropy ratio (vertical/horizontal) of the effective unsaturated $K_i$ increases when the mean capillary pressure increases or mean moisture content decreases. Coarser soils (e.g., sand) with larger pore sizes have lower $\theta$ and $K(\theta)$ (hydraulic conductivity at varying water saturation) values before the wetting front arrives (Fig. 5A). Consequently, the background $\theta$ (Fig. 2) and the initial recharge rate (Fig. 3B) is lower in coarser than finer textured soils. However, coarser soils also have higher values of $K(\theta)$ when $\theta$ approaches saturated conditions (Fig. 5B). The wetting front, therefore, arrives at the bottom boundary faster in coarser than finer textured soils (in 0.8 days for sand compared to 16.7 days for silt) and this is associated with a dramatic increase in $R$ (Fig. 3B) and $r_0$ (Fig. 3C). At the end of the simulation (365 days), the cones of infiltration (Fig. 2B) are similar and $r_0 = 21.5$ m (Fig. 3C) for various soil types and the final value of $R$
increases with the soil $K_s$ (Fig. 3B).

Fig. 3D shows plots of $I$ versus $R$ at 365 day for the simulations in various soils. Note that a large volume of water needs to infiltrate into the soil before recharge from the drywell rapidly increases. Furthermore, this delay in recharge increases for coarser textured soils that have lower background values of $\theta$. For example, recharge rapidly increased when $I = 9526$ m$^3$ for sand compared to $I = 1896$ m$^3$ for silt. This occurs because infiltrating water needs to fill more of the pore space in coarser (lower initial $\theta$) than in finer textured soils before the cone of infiltration can reach the bottom boundary. These simulation results will be sensitive to the model’s initial conditions. In this work, we have assumed an initial head of $-0.5$ m throughout the domain.

![Fig. 2. The cone of infiltration for sand, loamy sand, sandy loam, loam, and silt with hydraulic properties obtained from the HYDRUS (2D/3D) soil catalog during a constant head simulation presented as water content ($\theta$) profiles at 0.73 (A) and 365 (B) days of simulation.](image)

![Fig. 3. The cumulative infiltration volume ($I$) as a function of time (normal scale) (log scale is presented in Fig. S8) (A), the cumulative recharge volume ($R$) as a function of time (log scale) (B), the radius of recharge ($r_x$) vs time of arrival at the bottom boundary (C), and $I$ vs $R$ (log scale) at the same time (D) during 365 days constant head simulation for the Sand (S), Loamy Sand (LS), Sandy Loam (SL), Loam (L), and Silt (Si) soils with hydraulic parameters obtained from the HYDRUS (2D/3D) Soil Catalog.](image)
Note that the simulated \( I = 1896–9526 \text{ m}^3 \) can have a resemblance to an actual arid- or semi-arid site like Fort Irwin, which received 515 m³ input water from irrigation runoff during 200 days monitoring period (summer).

### 4.2. Heterogeneous soil profiles

#### 4.2.1. Cumulative infiltration and recharge

The above simulations were highly idealized because they considered homogeneous soil profiles. In contrast, natural subsurface is inherently heterogeneous. However, previous studies (Hencher et al., 2011; Phillips and Lorz, 2008; Sasidharan et al., 2019; Sidle et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2008; Uchida et al., 2003; USDA, 2016) and the case study presented in the SI demonstrates that layered soil structures are very common. Illustrative simulations were therefore conducted to better understand the effects of stochastic heterogeneity parameters \((X, Z, \text{ and } \sigma)\) for \( K \), on the cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes from a drywell. Figs. 4 and 5 show representative \( h \) and \( \theta \) distributions, respectively, after 365 days constant head simulation in homogeneous (Figs. 2A and 3A) and stochastic (Figs. 2B–H and 3B–H) domains. The Fort Irwin soil hydraulic parameters (Experiment II, Table 1) were employed in these simulations, and stochastic parameters equaled \( X = 10 \text{ m}, Z = 0.1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Figs. 4B and 5B}; X = 1 \text{ m}, Z = 0.1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Figs. 4C and 5C}; X = 0.1 \text{ m}, Z = 0.1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Figs. 4D and 5D}; X = 1 \text{ m}, Z = 1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Figs. 4E and 5E}; X = 1 \text{ m}, Z = 2 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Figs. 4F and 5F}; X = 1 \text{ m}, Z = 0.1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 0.5 \text{ in Figs. 4G and 5G}; \text{ and } X = 1 \text{ m}, Z = 0.1 \text{ m}, \text{ and } \sigma = 0.25 \text{ in Figs. 4H and 5H}. \) Heterogeneous domains display wider distributions (in the lateral direction) of the saturated area and the presence of unsaturated pockets within the cone of infiltration compared to a homogeneous domain (Figs. 4A and 5A).

Fig. 6 shows values of \( \mu \) and \( \mu_R \), and their 95%-CI after 365 days as a function of \( \sigma \) (Fig. 6A), \( X \) (Fig. 6B), and \( Z \) (Fig. 6C). Specific stochastic parameters that were employed in these simulations included: \( \sigma = 0.25, 0.5, \text{ and } 1 \text{ when } X = 1 \text{ m and } Z = 0.1 \text{ m in Fig. 6A}; X = 0.1, 1, 10 \text{ m when } Z = 0.1 \text{ m and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Fig. 6B}; \text{ and } Z = 0.1, 1, 2 \text{ m when } X = 1 \text{ m and } \sigma = 1 \text{ in Fig. 6C}. \) Fig. 6 also includes values of \( I \) and \( R \) after 365 days for the corresponding homogeneous domain. A comparison of results for homogeneous and heterogeneous simulations reveals that both infiltration and recharge were higher for heterogeneous domains. Consequently, simulations that employ a homogeneous domain will always underestimate the actual infiltration and recharge efficiency of a drywell. The heterogeneous simulations indicate that the value of \( \mu \) will always be smaller than \( \mu_R \) during the short-term experiment. This revealed that over the 365 days simulation period, not all infiltrated water will recharge groundwater because some of the water will be trapped in isolated pockets or high permeable regions surrounded by low permeable layers (Figs. 4 and 5).

The distribution and volume of trapped water in the flow domain will be highly dependent on the subsurface heterogeneity. Fig. 6 shows that \( \mu \) and \( \mu_R \) tended to increase with \( \sigma \) (Fig. 6A) and \( X \) (Fig. 6B) but decreased with \( Z \) (Fig. 6C) due to differences in the water distribution (Figs. 4 and 5). Fig. 7 shows a similar dependence of the mean value of \( r_x \) at the bottom boundary on \( \sigma \) (Fig. 7A), \( X \) (Fig. 7B), and \( Z \) (Fig. 7C). An increase in \( \sigma \) leads to the formation of more permeable regions, which enhance infiltration (Fig. 6A), recharge (Fig. 6A), and spreading (Fig. 7A). Similarly, an increase in \( X \) produces larger lateral lenses with high permeability that enhance the rapid movement of the wetting front in the horizontal direction (Fig. 5D, C, and B) and facilitate the infiltration and recharge of water over a larger area (Figs. 6B and 7B). Conversely, an increase in \( Z \) creates larger vertical lenses with high permeability, which facilitates the rapid vertical movement of water over a relatively small area (Fig. 5E, F, 6C, and 6E). The 95% CIs for \( \mu \) and \( \mu_R \) were sometimes very large in Fig. 6, especially for the highest values of \( X \) and \( Z \) in Fig. 6B and C, respectively. This uncertainty reflects differences in the continuity of the high permeability regions and the area of recharge (Fig. 7). Several other studies have reported extensive lateral (horizontal) moisture movement but a limited vertical movement in different systems (Mantoglou and Gelhar, 1987; Routson et al., 1979; Sinai et al., 1974; Yeh et al., 1985a,b). However, these general findings only give information regarding the long-term trend of infiltration and recharge, and do not consider the presence of high permeable horizontally and vertically correlated soil layers. Therefore, it is important to understand the infiltration and recharge behavior, the arrival time and arrival location during short-term drywell operation to establish a site-specific monitoring system.
4.2.2. Time and location of recharge

The drywell regulatory guidelines require a mandatory separation distance of only 1.5–13 m between the drywell and the local groundwater table to provide a contaminant treatment zone (City of Portland, 2008; City of Portland, 2015; EPA, 1999; Washington State Department of Ecology, 2006). However, soils with highly permeable vertical lenses or fractures could minimize the contaminant treatment time and can produce an early arrival of contaminants such as pathogenic microbes to groundwater (Bradford et al., 2017; Mohanty et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014a,b). Figs. 4–7 examined infiltration and recharge from a drywell in heterogeneous and homogeneous soils after 365 days under constant head conditions. Values of the mean final recharge rate (μr) and mean rₚ in Fig. 7 can be used to estimate the average yearly recharge flux to groundwater from a drywell (i.e., μr divided by σLₚ) for a constant head condition (Fig. 5A). This information is vital for determining the average yearly Darcy velocity during recharge for conservatively transported contaminants during recharge. However, it does not address variability in the recharge behavior with time or the location on the bottom boundary. Simulation results were therefore further evaluated to address these issues. This information has important implications for properly determining times and locations for assessing the potential migration of contaminants through the vadose zone to the groundwater table.

Fig. 8 provides summary information about recharge with time and location for stochastic simulations when X = 1 m, Z = 0.1 m, and σ = 0.25, 0.5, and 1. The early (EAT₁) and late (LAT₁) mean arrival times decreased with σ in Fig. 8A and B, respectively. However, the mean location for early (EAT₉) and late (LAT₉) arrival point increased with σ (Fig. 8C and D). Observed differences in the early and late arrival times and points can be explained by analyzing the μr data with time (Fig. 8E and F). There was a significant delay in recharge when σ = 0.25 (compared to higher σ) (Fig. 8E) and the value of μr was very close to that for the homogeneous soil at 365 days (Fig. 8). A small value of σ leads to a more uniform and lower permeability, and a cone of infiltration that takes longer to fill before the wetting front arrives at the bottom boundary. An increase in σ creates more high permeability zones that decrease the early and late arrival times. It also increases the spreading of water (Figs. 4H, G, D, and 7A) and thereby increases the distance to the early and late arrival points. Interestingly, all 4 parameters (EAT₁, LA₁, EAP, LA₉) showed a large 95%-CIs due to differences in the predicted water distributions.

Fig. 9 provides summary information about recharge with time and location for stochastic simulations when Z = 0.1 m, σ = 1, and X = 0.1, 1, 10 m. Fig. 9A–D show values of EAT₁, LA₁, EAP, and LA₉, respectively, and their corresponding 95%-CIs that increase with the X-correlation length. These trends reflect increasing water spreading with X due to larger lenses in the lateral direction with high permeability. Fig. 9E and F show values of μr as a function of time during early (i.e., < 75 days) and late (i.e., < 365 days) stages, respectively. Early stages of recharge show a decrease in μr with an increase in X because recharge is delayed by increasing lateral spreading. This trend is consistent with the results displayed in Fig. 9A–D. Conversely, μr was greatest when X = 10 m at later stages of recharge (Fig. 9F). This suggests that vertical migration and recharge was enhanced once larger horizontal lenses with higher permeability were filled. In this case, higher values of μr and K(θ) were achieved in high permeability lenses that enhanced recharge.

Previous researchers have similarly reported that the vertical effective Kᵥ is small and the lateral effective Kₑ is large in the wetting front of stratified soil (Mantoglou and Gelhar, 1987), which increased the lateral movement of contaminants in the unsaturated zone below various waste disposal facilities (Crosby III et al., 1968; Crosby III et al., 1971; Palmquist and Johnson, 1962; Price et al., 1979; Prill, 1977). Enhanced lateral movement can be attributed to the fact that at high tension the hydraulic conductivity of fine-textured materials is relatively high, and water may prefer to spread laterally in a fine bed than to move vertically through coarser ones (Routson et al., 1979). In natural environments, layered soil profiles with high permeable sand layers followed by low permeable clay lenses with extensions and thicknesses of several tens to hundreds of meters can be present (Phillips and Lorz, 2008). Trautwein et al. (1983) investigated wastewater movement from an evaporation pond in the stratified unsaturated zone and observed that the contamination pool extended laterally to a distance of 2000 m due to the formation of a saturated perched water zone above the water table. The presence of such geological formations (e.g., clay layer at Fort Irwin, CA) could delay groundwater recharge.
from a drywell from 1 to 100+ years. Therefore, the presence of a high permeable soil around the drywell in the infiltration area may not contribute to groundwater recharge for many years.

Fig. 10 provides summary information about recharge with time and location for stochastic simulations when $X=1m$, $\sigma=1$, and $Z=0.1, 1, 2$ m. Fig. 10A and B show that the values of $EA_T$ and $LA_T$, respectively, decreased with $Z$. Conversely, values of $EA_R$ and $LA_R$ increased (Fig. 10C) and decreased (Fig. 10D) with $Z$. Fig. 10E shows that values of $\mu R$ during the early stage ($< 75$ days) increased with $Z$. Among all stochastic parameter combinations, the $X = 1$, $Z = 2$, $\sigma = 1$ simulation had the earliest arrival time (34 ± 12 days). The presence of highly permeable vertical lenses facilitates the faster downward movement of water and thus an early arrival time (Fig. 10A). However, the value of $\mu R$ during the late stage ($< 365$ days) was greatest when $Z = 0.1$ m and lowest when $Z = 2$ m (Fig. 10F). In this case, the presence of a thick vertical lens (Fig. 10F) when $Z = 2$ m reduced the overall width of the cone of infiltration (Figs. 4 and 5) and the recharge area (Fig. 7), and this decreased the long-term value of $\mu R$. All 4 parameters showed a large 95%-CI for simulations shown in Fig. 10 and this reflects the variability in water distributions and flow rates.

Groundwater monitoring wells are commonly installed a few meters away from a drywell (Hamad et al., 2016; Izuka, 2011). Previous stochastic theory studies (Mantoglou and Gelhar, 1987; Yeh et al., 1985a,b) predicts that a contamination plume tends to spread laterally in dry vadose zone soils, while vertical movement is slow. Contaminants may, therefore, arrive at the water table much later than is predicted by many classic one-dimensional models. However, this study demonstrated that the arrival time could range from 33 to 317 days and the arrival location could be 0.1–44 m away from the bottom releasing point of a drywell depending on the heterogeneity. If the location of a monitoring well and the sampling timing are not properly selected, then the recharge water quality can lead to a misinterpretation of the...
anticipated transport and risks from contaminants. Consequently, the use of model projections for estimating the magnitude of groundwater recharge and transport of contaminants from drywells in heterogeneous subsurface conditions will likely have a high degree of uncertainty. One should, therefore, be cautious when determining the risks from drywells during the short-term operation at a site that is not thoroughly characterized.

Based on the modeling realizations, the value of $\mu_R$ was always smaller for a homogeneous than a heterogeneous domain (Figs. 8F, 9F, and 10F). Previously, Sasidharan et al. (2018a) found that an equivalent homogeneous soil domain could be used to accurately predict $\mu_I$ for a heterogeneous soil domain. The sensitivity of a modeled recharge volume to various physical configurations and connectivity of soil hydraulic properties implies that measurement of the soil heterogeneity below the drywell is an important consideration when evaluating the potential for groundwater recharge. As shown in Fig. S7, the correlation between drywell infiltration and the recharge volume can be highly non-linear for heterogeneous soil domains. Thus, model estimates of short- or long-term recharge efficiencies may not be reliable when constrained solely by the inferred volume of infiltration based on empirical measurements within a drywell system.

5. Conclusions and future implications

Drywells are widely used to capture stormwater and convey it to the vadose zone for subsequent groundwater recharge. However, assessing the performance of a drywell and its recharge efficiency over the short- or long-term is very tedious, time-consuming, expensive, and complex. To successfully install a drywell, conduct groundwater monitoring, and collect accurate groundwater quality information requires a careful design of field experiments and a knowledge of the subsurface heterogeneity in soil hydraulic properties.

Numerical experiments were conducted to understand the relationship between the cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes in the homogeneous (S, LS, SL, L, and Si) soil domains. The cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes increased with $K_s$. During the initial stages of infiltration, the coarser textured sandy soil had a lower background $\theta$ and, therefore, a large volume of water had to infiltrate before the recharge rate from the drywell rapidly increased. The wetting front arrived at the bottom boundary faster in coarser than finer-textured soils. However, all soil types had a similar value of the recharge area radius at the end of the simulation. Note that this may not occur when the model is run with a more representative transient loading condition. Groundwater monitoring well installations placed at
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the outer radius of the recharge area may therefore not be the best choice.

Homogeneous soil profiles are highly idealized conditions compared to natural subsurface soils that are highly heterogeneous. Therefore, additional numerical experiments were conducted to better understand the influence of stochastic heterogeneity in soil hydraulic properties on drywell infiltration and recharge behavior. The cumulative infiltration and recharge volume after a 365 days constant head simulation were higher for heterogeneous domains than for the homogeneous domain. However, the cumulative recharge volume was smaller than the cumulative infiltration volume, and their correlations were highly non-linear. This result indicates that a fraction of infiltrated water can be trapped in isolated pockets or highly permeable regions in the vadose zone and the knowledge of the infiltration volume under varying stormwater flow events may not be a reliable predictor of potential short-term recharge. Both cumulative infiltration and recharge increased with increasing $\sigma$ and the lateral correlation length but decreased with an increasing vertical correlation length. The radius of recharge at the bottom boundary showed a similar dependency on stochastic parameters.

The mean early and late arrival times decreased with $\sigma$, whereas the mean early and late arrival points increased with $\sigma$. An increase in $\sigma$ creates more high permeability and connectivity zones and increases the spreading of water. The values of both early and late arrival times and locations increased with the $X$-correlation length. The presence of larger, highly permeable lateral lenses increases the spreading of water. At the same time, values of early and late arrival times decreased with $Z$, respectively. The presence of highly permeable vertical lenses facilitates the faster downward moment of water but reduces the overall width of the cone of filtration and the recharge area.

This research points out that the assumption of a highly permeable homogenous soil around the drywell may result in unrealistic model estimates of recharge to groundwater. Some understanding of the hydraulic properties, subsurface heterogeneity, and its continuity between the drywell and the groundwater table is essential for making an informed decision on recharge and contaminant transport. The current drywell infiltration tests performed by contractors are very simple and fail to capture accurately the hydraulic behavior of a site. Therefore, carefully planned falling head and constant head (multiple cycles) experiment should be conducted in a newly installed drywell and effective hydraulic properties can be obtained by the inverse optimization of the observed data (Sasidharan et al., 2018a, 2019). In the absence of

![Fig. 9. The early arrival time ($EA_T$) (A), the late arrival time ($LA_T$) (B), the early arrival point ($EA_P$) (C), the late arrival point ($LA_P$) (D), mean cumulative recharge ($\mu R$) at an early stage (E), and at a late stage (F) as a function of $X$ ($X = 0.1, 1, 10$ m) when $Z = 0.1$ and $\sigma = 1$ for a heterogeneous Fort Irwin soil domain during 365 days constant head simulation.](image)
extensive knowledge about the subsurface heterogeneity, an equivalent homogeneous domain with inversely optimized stochastic parameters can be used to estimate the cumulative infiltration (Sasidharan et al., 2019), even if such an analysis may not provide an accurate estimation of recharge under transient conditions.

This research demonstrated the importance of subsurface heterogeneity on recharge from drywells. However, it is important to recognize the limitations and assumptions employed in this study. The consideration of heterogeneous subsurface and subsequent data analysis can be time-consuming and adds a lot more complexity. For example, a maximum X-correlation length of 10 m was considered in this study due to the limitation in the size of the model simulation domain size and the associated simulation time and cost. The stochastic input parameters used in the numerical experiments were hypothetical (since site-specific data is not available) and initial and boundary conditions were chosen based on the limited knowledge available from Fort Irwin’s study site.

It is recommended that hydrogeologists, drywell practitioners, and regulators implement new strategies during drywell installation to collect detailed site-specific subsurface heterogeneity data for future validation studies. For example, drywell performance could be assessed using single or multiple slanted boreholes that are instrumented with water content probes and solution sampling ports at several depths to track water content profiles and contaminant migration, respectively (Dahan et al., 2009). Such information can help to quantify the influence of subsurface heterogeneity on recharge and serve as an early warning system of contaminant migration in the vadose zone (Dahan et al., 2009). In addition, a variety of near-surface geophysical techniques can provide valuable information on site structure, hydrology and hydrogeology, and contamination plume information (Annan, 2005; Binley and Kemna, 2005; Cassiani et al., 2014; Everett and Meju, 2005; Revil et al., 2006). However, these sophisticated academic methods and techniques are often not feasible given real-world limits on time, cost, and data availability, especially for risk and uncertainty analyses. Therefore, academics and practitioners should collaborate to develop qualitative methods for drywell optimization and risk assessment (Bode et al., 2018). If site-specific data are not available for a given subsurface, literature values of similar systems can in principle be used for an initial assessment (Schilling et al., 2017).

The Fort Irwin drywell case study demonstrated that urban and rural areas in the arid or semi-arid environment may still receive regular input of water from urban runoff and can be used as an alternative source of infiltration water. Such a scenario will lead to a constant head boundary condition within the drywell and will act as an upper bound.

---

**Fig. 10.** The early arrival time (EA\(_T\)) (A), the late arrival time (LA\(_T\)) (B), the early arrival point (EA\(_P\)) (C), the late arrival point (LA\(_P\)) (D), mean cumulative recharge (\(\mu R\)) at an early stage (E), and at a late stage (F) as a function of \(Z\) (\(Z = 0.1, 1, 2\)) when \(X = 1\) m and \(\sigma = 1\) for a heterogeneous Fort Irwin soil domain during 365 days constant head simulation.
for groundwater recharge and as a worst-case scenario for the contaminant transport. Therefore, this study only considered constant head boundary conditions to understand the effect of stochastic parameters on cumulative infiltration and recharge volumes, the area of recharge, arrival time, and arrival location of infiltrated water from a drywell. Additional research is needed to study the influence of natural weather conditions such as rain frequency and intensity at a drywell site. Episodic rainfall events create transient boundary conditions in the drywell that will add more complexity to recharge estimates and risk assessment. This topic will be addressed in a future paper.
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