

PUBLICATIONS

Vadose Zone Journal Celebrates 20th Volume

| By DJ McCauley

In 2002, inaugural *Vadose Zone Journal* (VZJ) Editor Rien van Genuchten wrote, “The scientific community needs a home for research that covers all of the physical, chemical, and biological aspects of the vadose zone, especially multidisciplinary research.” Nineteen years and 20 volumes later, that home certainly is VZJ.

“*Vadose Zone Journal* has been a fantastic addition to the scientific literature,” says Editor Markus Flury. “It has pioneered research on the interface between the atmosphere and the geosphere, bringing this critical zone to the forefront.”

Not only is the journal broad-reaching, transdisciplinary, and impactful, it also set some prescient trends in scientific publishing. Since its inception, VZJ has been entirely paperless, and it was the first ASA, CSSA, or SSSA journal to transition to an open access model. In the coming years, Flury hopes to see VZJ maintain the rigorous quality of its publications while expanding its reach into more interdisciplinary research. It’s a goal perfectly in keeping with Genuchten’s vision: for VZJ to “break down barriers between disciplines and societies while also narrowing the increasingly severe gap between the state-of-the-science and the state-of-the-practice.”

Below, you can see a top five list of VZJ’s all-time most-cited articles, which neatly illustrate the journal’s wide range of subject matter and current applicability to a huge swath of disciplines.

VZJ’s Top Five Most-Cited Articles

1. “Development and Applications of the HYDRUS and STANMOD Software Packages and Related Codes” (Šimůnek et al., 2008)—1082 Citations

The definitive paper on applications of HYDRUS and STANMOD, two widely used modeling tools developed by the



USDA-ARS and the University of California–Riverside over three decades. These modeling tools help researchers better calculate vadose zone flow, which scientists use as foundational tools of the trade today.

2. “Measuring Soil Water Content with Ground Penetrating Radar: A Review” (Huisman et al., 2003)—989 Citations

Geophysicists, forensic anthropologists, engineers, and, of course, soil scientists have all cited this comprehensive review—and that’s just in 2021. This review outlines ground-penetrating radar technology and techniques, offering insights that a broad range of researchers have counted essential. In 2018, VZJ published a follow-up review, offering another decade’s worth of insights for an incredibly practical, transdisciplinary technique.

3. “A Review of Advances in Dielectric and Electrical Conductivity Measurement in Soils Using Time Domain Reflectometry” (Robinson et al., 2003)—982 Citations

This paper tackles time domain reflectometry (TDR) techniques that measure a material’s permittivity—that is, its ability to store electrical energy in an electrical field. Coupled with EC, TDR is a useful technique to estimate the water content in soil. This year alone, scientists cited this article in new research on precision agriculture, biochar

DOI: 10.1002/csan.20584

applications, tree stem water content, and monitoring general agricultural field conditions.

4. “Estimating Uncertain Flow and Transport Parameters Using a Sequential Uncertainty Fitting Procedure” (Abbaspour et al., 2004)—876 Citations

If you’re looking for a statistical term that sounds like a Star Trek reference, this paper has it. Using Latin hypercube sampling, among many other techniques, this research team created a model that better analyzes the uncertainty in calculating the cause of water movement in the soil. They used two municipal solid waste incinerator bottom ash landfills to test the model, monitoring the leachates coming from the site. This paper has recently popped up in ground and satellite watershed monitoring, crop production, water management, and land use studies.

5. “Soil Moisture Measurement for Ecological and Hydrological Watershed-Scale Observatories: A Review” (Robinson et al., 2008)—838 Citations

This review tackles advances in sensor technology—particularly geophysical methods and distributed sensors—for better understanding how soil hydrology impacts the watershed. Soil moisture impacts runoff, microbial activity, nitrification, and respiration. The paper has been cited in plant species composition studies, broad analyses of climate, and “plant wearable” technology, to name a few recent articles.

DIG DEEPER

- Read Rien van Genuchten’s inaugural letter from the editor here: <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2002.1000>
- Read the current editorial team’s perspective on VZJ’s 20th anniversary here: <https://doi.org/10.1002/vzj2.20141>

References

- Abbaspour, K.C., Johnson, C.A., & van Genuchten, M.Th. (2004). Estimating uncertain flow and transport parameters using a sequential uncertainty fitting procedure. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 3(4), 1340–1352. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2004.1340>
- Huisman, J.A., Hubbard, S.S., Redman, J.D., & Annan, A.P. (2003). Measuring soil water content with ground penetrating radar: A review. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 2(4), 476–491. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2003.4760>
- Robinson, D.A., Campbell, C.S., Hopmans, J.W., Hornbuckle, B.K. Jones, S.B. Knight, R. Ogden, F., Selker, J., & Wendroth, O. (2008). Soil moisture measurement for ecological and hydrological watershed-scale observatories: A review. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 7(1), 358–389. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2007.0143>
- Robinson, D.A., Jones, S.B., Wraith, J.M., Or, D., & Friedman, S.P. (2003). A review of advances in dielectric and electrical conductivity measurement in soils using time domain reflectometry. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 2(4), 444–475. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2003.4440>
- Šimůnek, J., van Genuchten, M.Th., & Šejna, M. (2008). Development and applications of the HYDRUS and STANMOD software packages and related codes. *Vadose Zone Journal*, 7(2), 587–600. <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2007.0077>
- Ochsner, T.E., Cosh, M.H., Cuenca, R.H., Dorigo, W.A., Draper, C.S., Hagimoto, Y., ... Zreda, M. (2013). State of the art in large-scale soil moisture monitoring. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 77, 1888–1919.
- Rakhmatulina, E., Stephens, S., & Thompson, S. (2021). Soil moisture influences on Sierra Nevada dead fuel moisture content and fire risks. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 496, 119379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119379>
- Rigden, A.J., Powell, R.S., Trevino, A., McColl, K.A., Huybers, P. (2020). Microwave retrievals of soil moisture improve grassland wildfire predictions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2020GL091410. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091410>
- Roman, J., Verzoni, A., & Sutherland, S. (2020). The wildfire crisis: Greetings from the 2020 wildfire season. *NFPA Journal*, 20 November.
- Sharma, S., Carlson, J.D., Krueger, E.S., Engle, D.M., Twidwell, D., Fuhlendorf, S.D., ... Ochsner, T.E. (2020). Soil moisture as an indicator of growing-season herbaceous fuel moisture and curing rate in grasslands. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, 30(1), 57–69. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF19193>
- Thomas Ambadan J., Oja, M., Gedalof, Z., & Berg, A.A. (2020). Satellite-observed soil moisture as an indicator of wildfire risk. *Remote Sensing* 12, 1543.
- Zhang, N., Quiring, S.M., & Ford, T.W. (2021). Blending Noah, SMOS, and in Situ soil moisture using multiple weighting and sampling schemes. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 22, 1835–1854. <https://doi.org/10.1175/jhm-d-20-0119.1>

Using Soil Moisture Information to Better Understand and Predict Wildfire Danger

(continued from p. 29)

- Levi, M.R., & Bestelmeyer, B.T. (2016). Biophysical influences on the spatial distribution of fire in the desert grassland region of the southwestern USA. *Landscape Ecology*, 31, 2079–2095. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-016-0383-9>
- Levi, M.R., & Bestelmeyer, B.T. (2018). Digital soil mapping for fire prediction and management in rangelands. *Fire Ecology*, 14, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42408-018-0018-4>
- Lu, Y., & Wei, C. (2021). Evaluation of microwave soil moisture data for monitoring live fuel moisture content (LFMC) over the coterminous United States. *Science of The Total Environment*, 771, 145410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145410>
- National Interagency Fire Center. (2021). National Fire News. <https://www.nifc.gov/fire-information/nfn>
- O, S., Hou, X., & Orth, R. (2020). Observational evidence of wildfire-promoting soil moisture anomalies. *Scientific Reports* 10, 11008. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67530-4>